

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,322	01/09/2001	Chetan Shah	TAL/8003.001	5492
7590 07/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Chernoff, Vilhauer, McClung & Stenzel, LLP			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
1600 ODS Tower 601 SW Second Avenue Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	

09757322

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

**PAPER** 

20040715

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Commissioner for Patents**

The Reply Brief filed 4/15/2004 has been entered and considered; however, the arguments made by the applicant are found to be non-persuasive and the reply will be forwarded with the file to the Board of Appeals for further review.

The Declaration filed on 4/15/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Fredrickson (US 2002/0019768 A1) reference.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Fredrickson reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Fredrickson reference. The submitted declaration shows a specification, which outlines the basic conception of the claimed invention, but in know way does the declaration show diligence from the conception date to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice.

John G. Weiss Ervisory Patent Examiner

guil

ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600